Board Assessment Report For (Sample) Organization



This is a sample report of a self-assessment administered by inTEgro to a hospital Board. It reflects board members' assessment of 4 categories:

- Organization health and performance
- The Board's governance role
- Board practices and effectiveness
- Board member self assessment of engagement and effectiveness in a board role.

Questions are customizable, and in most cases conclude with questions requesting short narrative responses. Results are complied by inTEgro, Inc. anonymously and customarily integrated with board retreats and planning events facilitated by inTEgro.

Contact Al Watts at inTEgro to learn more:

612.827.2363

	ĺ							Response Distribution (N)							
Scales/Items	N	_	Average 1	-		-	_	V Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excel	DIC		
Scales/Items	IN	0	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	DK		
Organization Performance All Respondents	(Ovei	rall)				4.00		0	1	19	85	23	7		
Overall organizational he All Respondents		(Sam	iple.)			4.00		0	0	0	9	0	0		
Performance expectation All Respondents		I SAMF	PLE) in th	ie com		r. 4.00		0	0	0	9	0	0		
Performance expectation All Respondents	l ns for (8	I SAMF	PLE) in th	ree ye	ars.	4.13		0	0	1	5	2	1		
Degree that (SAMPLE) i All Respondents	l s meet 9	ing th	e commu	ınity's r		78		0	0	3	5	1	0		
Quality of care delivered All Respondents		 MPLI 	Ε).			4.33		0	0	1	4	4	0		
Engagement of the medi All Respondents		l ff.				4.11		0	0	1	6	2	0		
7. Overall quality of (SAMP All Respondents	1 '	ff.			3	.89		0	0	2	6	1	0		
8. (SAMPLE) staff morale. All Respondents	8				3.6	3		0	0	3	5	0	1		
9. (SAMPLE) ethical tone, a	l and coi 9	l mpliai	nce with I	aws ar	nd regu		67	0	0	0	3	6	0		
10. (SAMPLE) financial per All Respondents	1	ice.				4.22		0	0	1	5	3	0		
11. (SAMPLE) ability to me All Respondents		goals	it sets.			4.00		0	0	1	7	1	0		
12. (SAMPLE) understandi All Respondents	. –	ends	and issu	es that		affect lo	ng-te	 erm orga 0	anizatio 0	nal hea	alth and 3	perforn 3	nance. 0		
13. Quality of (SAMPLE) si All Respondents		l plan				4.00		0	0	0	8	0	1		
14. Effectiveness of (SAMF All Respondents		l enior I	eadershi	p.		4.00		0	0	0	8	0	1		
15. (SAMPLE) leadership s All Respondents		 sion p	olans.		3.17			0	1	3	2	0	3		
	ı	ı						I							

	İ			Response Distribution (N)								
			_	Respon	-		V Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excel	
Scales/Items	N	0	1	2 3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	DK
Board Governance Role (I	1)			4.00		0	5	26	68	11	5
16. Representation of commall Respondents		interests	S.		4.00		0	0	0	9	0	0
17. Board member underst All Respondents		of mem	iber role	s and res	<u>-</u>	ies.	0	1	4	4	0	0
18. Board role raising funds All Respondents		ssuring	adequa	te financi 3.00	al resour	ces.	0	1	5	1	0	2
19. Board promotion of (SA All Respondents) to the (commur	nity.	3.89		0	0	3	4	2	0
20. Appropriate input in me All Respondents		aff cred	entialing		3.50		0	1	3	3	1	0
21. Board role in CEO feed All Respondents	1	nd perfo	ormance	evaluation	on.		0	1	1	6	1	0
22. Board role in (SAMPLE All Respondents		selectio	n and le	-	success .50	ion	0	1	1	4	0	2
23. Board monitoring of All Respondents	• `	l PLE) pe	rforman	ce again	st key 3.89		0	0	2	6	1	0
24. Oversight of (SAMPLE) All Respondents		l egulato	ry comp		d ethical 3.63	practio	es.	0	3	5	0	1
25. Oversight of financial p All Respondents			ctices.		4.11		0	0	0	8	1	0
26. Oversight of (SAMPLE All Respondents	•	l uality.			3.89		0	0	2	6	1	0
27. Involvement in (SAMPI All Respondents		l ategicpla	anning.		3.89		0	0	2	6	1	0
28. Maintaining an appropri All Respondents		l <u>vernanc</u>	<u>e</u> role vs	s. too mu	ch involv 4.3		l in opera 0	itions. 0	0	6	3	0

	I		I	Response Distribution (N)								
Scales/Items	N	Average Response Graph 0 1 2 3 4	5	V Poor 1	Poor 2	Fair 3	Good 4	Excel 5	DK			
				•								
Board Practices and Effective All Respondents	1	ess (Overall)		0	3	58	168	37	4			
29. Clear, well-written by-la All Respondents	1	3.75		0	0	2	6	0	1			
30. Board adherence to co		i finterest and other ethical guidelines 4.11	i.	0	0	1	6	2	0			
31. Board effectiveness red All Respondents		and orienting new Board members 3.67		0	1	2	5	1	0			
32. Expertise and balanced All Respondents		ectives that Board members contribu	ute.	0	0	1	7	1	0			
33. Independence and objection All Respondents	1 -	of Board members. 3.89		0	0	2	6	1	0			
34. Board meetings well-ru All Respondents		l organized. 4.22		0	0	1	5	3	0			
35. Quality of information the All Respondents		Board receives from administration a	l and s 	staff. 0	0	4	4	1	0			
36. Board understanding o	 f health	 n care and trends and issues that cou	 uld af	fect Ion	g-term							
(SAMPLE) health and p All Respondents	erform			0	0	4	5	0	0			
37. Board ability to challent All Respondents		engage in critical thinking. 3.78		0	0	2	7	0	0			
38. Quality of Board conve		and dialog.		0	0	3	5	1	0			
39. Board ability to engage All Respondents		cult conversations. 4.11		0	0	1	6	2	0			
40. Board conflict manager		kills and ability to reach consensus. 4.22		0	0	0	7	2	0			
41. Board ability to make ti All Respondents		ecisions.		0	0	0	7	2	0			
42. Board member prepara		efore meetings.		0	0	5	4	0	0			
43. Continuity of communic		and work between Board meetings. 3.56		0	0	5	3	1	0			

Scales/Items	N	0	Average Res Graph 1	sponse 2	3	5	V Poor 1	Poor 2	Fair 3	Good 4	Excel 5	DK
ocales/items	14	0	Grapii i			<u> </u>				4	<u> </u>	DK
44. Board use of financial r All Respondents		es to	assess perfor	mance a	nd prov 4.13	/ide c	versight 0	t. 0	0	7	1	1
45. Board use of appropria	to gual	lity m	aggurag to agg	ooo porf	ormono	0.00	d provid	o ovoroi	aht			
All Respondents		lly in	easures to ass		.89	e and	0	0	2	6	1	0
46. Board size and structure All Respondents					4.44	ı	0	0	0	5	4	0
47. Board committee struct		d effe	ectiveness.	3.56			0	0	4	5	0	0
48. Openness and candor All Respondents		l rd dis	cussion.		4.00		0	0	1	7	1	0
49. Board ability to confron All Respondents		l ies tha	at could affect		m (SAM .89	1PLE	l) perforn 0	nance. 0	2	6	1	0
50. Board understanding o All Respondents	. `	I PLE)	organization a	<u> </u>	ations. .89		0	0	3	4	2	0
51. Board development an All Respondents		l d mer	mber educatior	n/orienta 3.56			0	1	3	4	1	0
52. Member attendance ar All Respondents		l cipati	on.		4.44	ı	0	0	0	5	4	0
53. Ongoing Board commu All Respondents		l n with	n CEO regardii	ng goals	and pr	ogres	 ss. 0	0	1	6	2	0
54. Board familiarity and a	 ppropri	l ate co	ommunication	with (SA	MPLE)	man	l agemen	t and st	aff.			
All Respondents	9			3.7	78		0	0	2	7	0	0
55. Board member knowled All Respondents	. –	the co	ommunity and	commun	4.22	ds.	0	0	0	7	2	0
56. Board leadership effect All Respondents		S.			4.11		0	0	0	8	1	0
57. Board self-assessment All Respondents		ces.		3.25			0	1	4	3	0	1
58. This Board is operating All Respondents		full po	otential.	3.63	1		0	0	3	5	0	1

		1						Response Distribution (N)									
			Averag	ge Res	ponse	Graph		V Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excel					
Scales/Items	N	0	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	DK				
Individual Assessment (O All Respondents						4.00		0	0	5	33	16					
59. Level of my personal en direction. All Res	-		(SAMF	PLE)'s \	Vision a	and 4.1		0	0	0	8	1					
60. My personal level of inv All Respondents		ent an	d partic	cipation	in Boa	ard activ	_	and mee	tings. 0	0	5	4					
61. My level of knowledge All Respondents		red to	what a	Board	memb	er shoul 4.00	d kno	w. 0	0	3	3	3					
62. My personal preparation All Respondents		oard r	neeting	s and v	what wi	ll be disc	cusse	ed. 0	0	1	6	2					
64. Service on this Board is All Respondents		lling, s	satisfyin	g expe	rience	for me. 4.44	3	0	0	0	5	4					
65. My overall contributions All Respondents		l ard eff	ectiven	ess.		4.11		0	0	1	6	2					